

## Repairing Deep Creek Hydro Turbine Generators

This note is in response to Barry Weinberg's email, dated 31 July 2012, requesting our reaction to the MDE/ Brookfield interchanges on how to reduce leakage at the power plant to help maintain lake levels.

This is a difficult problem primarily because of the absence of detailed power plant knowhow. The general operation of the facility is reasonably well understood (See the Appendix), but the details are lacking. These types of plants are designed with site specific parameters and hence are not "off-the-shelf" designs.

An inkling of what may be the problem can be gathered from the Dec 30, 2011 letter from Brookfield to John Grace, which contains a number of errors.

My overall impression is that the age of the facility is beginning to show, that repairs are expensive and that revenues are insufficient to warrant the needed repairs so that they are postponed as long as possible. This may even become a safety issue, although Brookfield is part of a large organization that owns many hydroelectric facilities and hence are fully aware of the liabilities.

For me to firmly comment on the numbers quoted in the above mentioned letter, I would like to better understand how they were derived and what kind of measurements were actually made in order to conclude whether the numbers are valid.

The letter states flow numbers with 5 significant figures. From an engineering point of view, just the number raises significant concerns. There is no way that they have a system in place or a methodology that can measure the flow rate with that kind of accuracy, which makes me believe that it was calculated using several other numbers. What assumptions went into those calculations? Furthermore, in all cases the "totals" listed in the letter don't match the sums of Unit 1 and Unit 2! What's going on? Is this a separate measurement?

The letter talks about two pressure relief valves. A little searching of the web produces the following quotes:


"[In a Francis turbine] The water passes through a snail-shaped scroll case, through wicket gates that control the amount of water and into the submerged runner. The curved blades of the runner change the momentum of the water, which produces a net force or reaction in the turbine. This reaction has a tangential component that turns the wheel. With a Francis turbine, downstream pressure can be above zero. Precautions must be taken against an upstream water hammer when this type of turbine is used at the higher pressures."

"Pressure relief valves are added to prevent a water hammer due to the abrupt change of flow during emergencies or possibly even during startup and shutdown."

“If the supply pipeline is of appreciable length, a pressure relief valve is fitted in a short conduit leading directly from the pipeline to the tailwater.”

The supply line for the Deep Creek plant I would classify as ‘appreciable.’

I found the following image that helps to explain what is going on:




Water enters the scroll-cage from the left and goes around the runner, guided into the runner by guide vanes, also called wicket gates, and exiting down the draft tube into the tail race and into the Youghiogheny river. The wicket gates can control the flow, and hence the amount of power produced, but I don't believe they do that at the Deep Creek Lake facility.

The big pipe on the lower right is a duct that contains the pressure relief valve. The exit of the duct is presumably connected to a tube that exits into the tail race.

So one can see clearly two opportunities for leakage: 1) water leaking through the wicket gates when the wicket gates are supposed to be closed, and 2) water leaking through a bad pressure relief valve.

The image below shows the location of the wicket gates and how worn wicket gates could leak water through the turbine via the draft tube into the tail race.




The other leakage that Brookfield mentions is via drain valves in the scroll-cage. I don't know how big they are and how many there are and where the leakage flows to. From the diagram above the leakage may wind up directly into the tailrace. Is this a difficult measurement to make?

The letter to John Grace from Brookfield, dated April 27, 2012, mentioned a problem with the turbine inlet valve (TIV). The image below shows where the TIV might be installed (image from the web, not necessarily the Deep Creek facility). It's the valve on the left hand side of the image. This is most likely the main control valve to start and shut down the generator. This is certainly a critical item in the facility.

As a side note, the middle controller shown in the image operates the wicket gates or guide vanes.

To repair all turbine related issues would require a reliable TIV. (Again, I don't know much about how operations are conducted) There is a large pressure on the valve when it is in closed position.



It's pretty simple to measure the total leakage from the system: measure the flow in the tailrace when the generator system is supposed to be completely shut down. Is that the total number they state in the letter? Is the different between the Unit 1 and 2 measurements and the total, the amount going through the pressure relief valve and scroll-cage drains? I don't know how they do the individual measurements. I don't know what kind of sensors are installed.


## Appendix

### A General Description of a Francis Type Hydroelectric Facility

Use of hydropower peaked in the mid-20th century, but the idea of using water for power generation goes back thousands of years. A hydropower plant is basically an oversized water wheel.

Hydropower plants are actually based on a rather simple concept -- water flowing through a dam turns a turbine, which turns a generator.

Most hydropower projects use a dam and a reservoir to retain water from a river. When the stored water is released, it passes through and rotates turbines, which spin generators to produce electricity. Water stored in a reservoir can be accessed quickly for use during times when the demand for electricity is high. The following is a schematic followed by a brief explanation of the components.



1. **Dam** - Most hydropower plants rely on a dam to hold back water in a large reservoir. Often, this reservoir is used as a recreational lake, as it is the case with Deep Creek Lake.
2. **Intake** - Gates on the dam open and gravity pulls the water through the penstock, a pipeline that leads to the turbine. Water builds up pressure as it flows through this pipe.
3. **Penstock** - A penstock is a sluice or gate or intake structure that controls water flow, or an enclosed pipe that delivers water to hydraulic turbines. It is a term that has been inherited from the technology of wooden watermills.
4. **Turbine** - The water strikes and turns the large blades of a turbine, which is attached to a generator above it by way of a shaft. The most common type of turbine for hydropower plants is the Francis Turbine, which looks like a big disc with curved blades. A turbine can weigh as much as 172 tons and turn at a rate of 90 revolutions per minute (rpm), according to the Foundation for Water & Energy Education (FWEE).
5. **Generators** - As the turbine blades turn, so do a series of magnets inside the generator. Giant magnets rotate past copper coils, producing alternating current (AC) by moving electrons.
6. **Transformer** - The transformer inside the powerhouse takes the AC and converts it to higher-voltage current.
7. **Power lines** - Out of every power plant come four wires: the three phases of power being produced simultaneously plus a neutral or ground common to all three.
8. **Outflow** - Used water is carried through pipelines, called tailraces, and re-enters the river downstream, here the Youghiogheny river

The water in the reservoir is considered stored energy. When the gates open, the water flowing through the penstock becomes kinetic energy because it's in motion. The amount of electricity that is generated is determined by several factors. Two of those factors are the volume of water flow and the amount of hydraulic head. The head refers to the distance between the water surface and the turbines. As the head and flow increase, so does the electricity generated. The head is usually dependent upon the amount of water in the reservoir.

The heart of the hydroelectric power plant is the generator. Most hydropower plants have several of these generators. The Deep Creek Lake facility has two generators built by Allis Chalmers. Each generator can produce 9 MW of electricity when the head, the height between the lake level and the inlet of the

turbine, is 440 ft. The flow rate can range from 270 cfs(cubic feet per second) to 330 cfs.The generator spins at 514 rpm. They were installed initially in 1925.




Figure 5 - Vertical Francis Turbine

PARTS LIST FOR FRANCIS AND KAPLAN TURBINE DRAWINGS			
1	Turbine Runner	7	Draft Tube
1a	Runner Cone	8	Discharge Ring
1b	Runner Crown (Francis)	9	Turbine Shaft
1c	Runner Band (Francis)	10	Turbine Guide Bearing
1d	Runner Bucket (Francis)	11	Wicket Gate Servomotors
1e	Runner Hub (Kaplan)	12	Servomotor Connecting Rod
1f	Runner Blade (Kaplan)	13	Wicket Gate Operating Ring or Shift Ring
2	Wearing Rings or Seal Rings (Francis)	14	Wicket Gate Link
3	Facing Plates or Curb Plates	15	Wicket Gate Arm
4	Spiral Case or Scroll Case	16	Packing Box or Stuffing Box (Mechanical Seals)
5	Stay Vane	17	Head Cover
6	Wicket Gate	18	Runner Blade Servomotor (Kaplan)




Figure F3. MODEL TEST DATA - FRANCIS TURBINE

$D_{TH} = 12"$  ONE FOOT HEAD  $N_s = 37.2$   
D-5

Brookfield acquired the Deep Creek facility in April 2005



Power generated at the Deep Creek facility is sold to the PJM administered market.

It appears that workers at the facility are members of the Power Workers Union local 459.

Brookfield sees the current agreement as:

#### Collaborative Approach to Re-Permitting

Brookfield entered re-permitting seeking to generally maintain status-quo particularly with respect to important issues of water levels in Deep Creek Lake and releases for the protection of downstream trout fishery

Changes sought in new permit included revised whitewater release schedules and increased operational flexibility to allow expanded participation in the PJM Energy Markets by Brookfield

Diverse representation and collaboration of public interests between:

- 1 – Upper Yough Whitewater Coalition consisting of:
  - » American Whitewater
  - » American Canoe Association
  - » Commercial Whitewater Rafting Outfitters led by Precision Rafting
  - » Team Friendsville
- 2 – Mayor and Town Council, Town of Friendsville
- 3 – Deep Creek Lake Policy and Review Board
- 4 – Property Owners Association of Deep Creek Lake, Inc.
- 5 – Maryland Department of Environment
- 6 – Maryland Department of Natural Resources
- 7 – Trout Unlimited (local and regional chapters)
- 8 – Local land owners and other interested parties

Brookfield sees its beneficiaries as:

#### Balanced Outcome

- ▶ The new permit provides substantial and balanced improvements to both power and non-power resources
- ▶ Provides improved whitewater release schedule, improved fishing opportunities, better protection of downstream fishery resources and additional operational flexibility; all achieved with no change to the existing Deep Creek Lake rule bands

- ▶ The improved whitewater schedule is expected to be long term economic driver for downstream interests and municipalities
- ▶ Brookfield has achieved greater operational flexibility to the benefit of Brookfield and its shareholders

#### Highlights of Improved Whitewater Release Schedule

- ▶ Prior permit allowed for 59 whitewater release days between April and October, distribution not optimized for either fishing or whitewater interests
- ▶ New permit provides 64 release days, distribution optimized to provide releases every Saturday from mid- June to mid-September
- ▶ Outcome is better fishing opportunities during the earlier part of the season and better whitewater opportunities in the heart of the whitewater season – when it matters most for each
- ▶ Releases days over Memorial Day weekend alternate every other year to provide equal benefit to fishing and whitewater interests
- ▶ The ability to moderately regulate generation (vary flow) during whitewater release now allows Brookfield to better participate in PJM's ancillary services markets

#### In Closing...

The Deep Creek agreement is supported by a wide array of public and private interests, maintains the status quo for lake levels, increases recreational fishing and whitewater opportunities and expands operational flexibility for continued clean, renewable domestic power generation

**From the Stone & Webster REMA independent review of the Deep Creek facility performed :**

Deep Creek Station ("Deep Creek") is located on Deep Creek Lake in Garrett County, Maryland on 467 acres. The powerhouse has two hydro turbine generators with an average capacity of 18 MW. These generators were placed in service in 1925.

Deep Creek has three major components: a dam and reservoir, a water conduit system and a powerhouse with two turbine-generator units and associated equipment. The reservoir has a normal water elevation of 2,461 ft and is impounded by an earthfill dam with a crest elevation of 2,475 ft. The dam contains a concrete core wall with a top elevation at 2,467 ft. A long overflow weir at the right abutment of the dam, oriented perpendicular to the dam axis serves as the flood discharge control structure. Water that flows over this weir passes over a

secondary weir located downstream from the primary weir and then into the natural channel downstream.

The following table summarizes the plant characteristics.

DEEP CREEK CHARACTERISTICS SUMMARY		
ITEM	UNIT 1	UNIT 2
HYDROELECTRIC TURBINE		
Manufacturer	Allis-Chalmers	Allis-Chalmers
Type	Francis-type	Francis-type
Commissioned (year)	1925	1925
Capacity (MW)	9	9
MISCELLANEOUS		
Penstocks	Dam includes two penstocks	
Dam	Earth and rockfill dam	
Water conduit	Includes a power intake with vertical slide gate, horseshoe tunnel, and surge tank, Johnson-type inlet valve, and a labyrinth overflow weir in the tailrace channel for aeration	

Starting time, from standstill, was given as 10 minutes. The limitation here is that there is only one synchronizer. Loading time, from synchronous condensing, was given as 1 minute. Station personnel advised that there was no regulatory restriction on starting time but that there was a restriction on the shutdown rate. That restriction is intended to keep the fish from being left high-and-dry in the river downstream from the plant.

### 3.3.2 DEEP CREEK

Deep Creek operates in a peaking mode whenever there is insufficient water for full time operation at full load. Historical and projected average annual energy outputs, representative capacities, and indicated capacity factors are included in the following table. The projected performance is shown for the period 2000 through 2020 and is a combination of inputs and outputs from the Hagler Bailly market analysis model. The market forecast was extended by using the 2020 results through the projected retirement date. Stone & Webster has reviewed the key technical inputs from this model and found them to be reasonable.

DEEP CREEK HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED PERFORMANCE		
	HISTORICAL	PROJECTED UNIT AVERAGE (2000-2020)
Average Annual Energy(1), (MWh)	28,507	22,717
Capacity, (MW)	19	19
Capacity Factor, (%)	17	13.89

(1) Since station start-up in the 1920's

Stations that operate in a peaking mode and have storage capacity typically have low capacity factors. The capacity factors given for Deep Creek clearly illustrate the peaking nature of this

station. The capacity factor for Deep Creek is 17% and is projected to be approximately 14% over the next 20 years.

Deep Creek has a long historical generation record of about 75 years upon which future average generation may be based. Maryland Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") has recently required a flow release as necessary to maintain a continuous flow of at least 40 cfs in the Youghiogheny River, downstream from the plant to maintain a 25 degrees C temperature in the river at that point during the months of June, July, and August. Deep Creek concentrates the available water into the peak hours.

The long-term historical average output is given above as about 28,507 MWh per year. A value of 22,720 MWh would be a reasonable estimate for the average annual output under the postulated conditions. Hagler Bailly has projected a unit average over 20 years of approximately 22,717 MWh per year, which is considered to be reasonable. Stone & Webster used the 2020 market forecast result of 22,720 MWh through the projected retirement date.

Deep Creek's DNR Permit expires on January 1, 2006 and the project must apply for a new permit. The repermitting process includes consultation with all stakeholders interested in the plant operation and the effect of its operation on the environment. The interests of these stakeholders are taken into consideration by the DNR as it reviews the permit application and sets conditions for the term of the new permit. These conditions may include the same conditions or different operational parameters than are included in the current license.

#### 4.1.12 DEEP CREEK STATION

##### CIVIL STRUCTURES

The structures at Deep Creek appear to be in very good condition, to the extent that these items were visible. Deep Creek has an earth fill dam with a long overflow weir at the right-bank. We understand that considerable remedial work was performed in the recent past and that the present condition requires only normal O&M. The dam and associated structures appear to be in excellent condition during the site visit. Station personnel advised that there had been only three minor incidents of spillage in the history of the plant. That statistic suggests that the turbine capacity is quite high relative to the potential for flood formation.

##### MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT

The equipment at Deep Creek appears to be in very good condition, to the extent that these items were visible. Station personnel advised that the turbine runners were replaced, in 1972 and 1973, with new runners made of steel with stainless steel overlay. A brief review of the parameters suggests that the available diameter would support a further capacity increase but the tail water level does not appear to provide cavitation protection for the existing capacity. A capacity increase would serve only to shift more energy from off-peak to on-peak. There would be no increase in total energy because of the almost complete absence of spillage.

##### ELECTRICAL AND CONTROLS

The electrical equipment is maintained in good condition and the generating units appeared to be operating satisfactorily.

=====

REMAINING LIFE OF THE FACILITIES AS PROJECTED BY REMA

=====

STATION	AGE AS OF JANUARY 1, 2000	ESTIMATED RETIREMENT DATE	REMAINING LIFE
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
Hydroelectric Stations			
Deep Creek	77	2029	30 years

=====

Hydroelectric stations typically have long lives because their major components are civil structures. With proper maintenance, the structures at Piney and Deep Creek should remain useable over the life projected by REMA. Individual equipment items may continue to need replacement from time to time as part of the ongoing maintenance effort.

The only environmental issues associated with Piney and Deep Creek include secondary containment for the lube oil tanks and emergency batteries and petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil at Piney. The extent of the contamination has not yet been determined. There are no sources of air pollution at either station as there are no combustion sources.

**DEEP CREEK**

Although Deep Creek was originally licensed by FERC, FERC notified the Maryland Water Resources Administration by letter dated January 11, 1994 that the project was no longer under FERC jurisdiction. Deep Creek presently operates under a State of Maryland DNR Water Appropriations Permit Number GA92S009 (02). This permit was issued on October 1, 1999 and expires on January 1, 2006. Deep Creek personnel advised that the DNR plans to transfer this permit to REMA without change to the conditions or the expiration date. At the expiration, the operating conditions stipulated by the permit would be subject to revision.

6.3.12 DEEP CREEK

**STAFFING**

Deep Creek has a staff of two full-time people, with an off-site superintendent at Seward. This staffing level represents a reduction from three full-time people in 1997 and from four full-time people in 1995. Supplementary staffing has been provided by temporary employees.

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL EXPENSES**

Deep Creek personnel provided the following data on historical expenditures for O&M.

=====

HISTORICAL O&M EXPENSES	
YEAR	EXPENSES
1997	\$506,000
1998	\$501,000
1999	\$351,000

REMA's projected labor, other O&M, and capital expenses are shown in the following table.

PROJECTED O&M EXPENSES			
YEAR	O&M	CAPITAL	TOTAL
2000	\$ 450,000	\$ 95,000	\$ 545,000
2001	\$ 450,000	\$ 600,000	\$1,050,000
2002	\$ 450,000	\$ 0	\$ 450,000
2003	\$ 450,000	\$ 600,000	\$1,050,000
2004	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 900,000

The O&M costs for the year 2000 include \$250,000 for OCB replacement that has already been completed. The O&M costs for 2001 include \$150,000 for voltage regulator upgrade. The budget provided appears to be reasonable. In Stone & Webster's opinion, the assumed level of capital and overhaul expenses included in the detailed forecast are adequate to keep the station operating reliably through the projected retirement date.

**OVERHAUL SCHEDULE**

Deep Creek appears to be maintained in very good operational condition based on the observations made during our site visit. The following maintenance activities are scheduled for the next five years:

2001 Two week clean-up inspection 2002 Two week clean-up inspection 2003 Three week internal inspection/unit 2004 Two week clean-up inspection 2005 Two week clean-up inspection

**MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT**

REMA will convert the maintenance management to the SAP America system as discussed in section 6.3.3. It is considered to be an acceptable maintenance tracking system in the industry.

Deeds from Penelec to Sithe Maryland Holdings LLC (for Deep Creek), dated as of November 19, 1999  
Easement and License Agreements between Penelec and Sithe Maryland Holdings LLC (for Deep Creek), dated as of November 19, 1999

Deep Creek Hydroelectric Generating Station Former Williamsburg Generating Station Keystone Generating Station

Deep Creek Hydroelectric Generating Station East Flemington Substation

PROPERTY	TYPE OF AGREEMENT	PARTY	PURPOSE
Deep Creek	easement 1/31/91	Site, Douglas et ux.	Right-of-way
Deep Creek	easement 5/31/39	Potomac Edison Co.	Lines and wires (Sang Run #6)
Deep Creek	easement 9/13/90	Legacy Homes Corp.	2 storm water discharges
Deep Creek	grant	Maybury, Robert H. et. al.	Privilege for 30' roadway
Deep Creek	lease 9/22/97	Helbig, Jacob	Premises at 141 Mayhew Inn Road
Deep Creek	lease 6/13/91	Elston, Thomas N. et.al.	3 lots
Deep Creek	Co-Insurance Schedule delivered 11/24/99	Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation and Stewart Title Insurance Company	Co-Insurance Schedule and Endorsement for Deep Creek owner's title policy

#### OUTAGES


STATION	DESCRIPTION	DATE	LENGTH
Deep Creek	Unit 1-Oil Circuit Breakers, Annual Inspection	01/10/00-01/21/00	2 weeks
Deep Creek	Unit 25-Oil Circuit Breakers, Annual Inspection	01/24/00-02/04/00	2 weeks

## 7.4 Transmission Operating Requirements

7.4.1 System Restoration: Deep Creek Station can supply black start energy to the 115 kV transmission system. In the event that a system-wide blackout occurs, the station may be called upon to provide an initial source of energy for the restoration of the Company and regional transmission systems. When requested, the Producer will start the generating units and coordinate their operation with the Transmission Operators.

On April 27, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of two hydroelectric generating facilities, Piney and Deep Creek, with a total of 48 megawatts of capacity from Reliant Energy Inc. for cash consideration of US \$42 million. The Piney station is a 28 megawatt facility located on the Clarion River in Pennsylvania and the Deep Creek station is a 20 megawatt facility located on the Youghhiogheny River in Maryland.

## Typical Load Profile



The above graph is for Maryland.

Brookfield Renewable Power Inc. was formed as the result of the amalgamation of Brookfield Power Inc. and Brookfield Power Corporation in May 2008. It is wholly owned by Brookfield Asset Management. Brookfield Renewable Power Inc.'s primary business is renewable power generation. Some of its generation assets are owned through Brookfield Renewable Power Fund.

Brookfield Renewable Power Inc., wholly-owned by Brookfield Asset Management Inc., has more than 100 years of experience as an owner, operator and developer of hydroelectric power facilities. Its total portfolio includes more than 170 generating facilities with over 4,200 megawatts of capacity including Prince Wind, one of the country's largest wind



installations. It also has a significant hydroelectric and wind project pipeline. Brookfield Renewable Power's operations are primarily located in North America and Brazil. Brookfield Asset Management Inc., focused on property, power and infrastructure assets, has over US\$100 billion of assets under management and is listed on the New York and Toronto Stock Exchanges under the symbols BAM and BAM.A, respectively, and on Euronext Amsterdam under the symbol BAMA. For more information, please visit Brookfield Renewable Power's website at [www.brookfieldpower.com](http://www.brookfieldpower.com) and Brookfield Asset Management's website at [www.brookfield.com](http://www.brookfield.com).

References:

[RELIANT ENERGY MID ATLANTIC POWER HOLDINGS LLC \(Form: S-4, Received: 12/08/2000 08:05:20\)](#)

[RELIANT ENERGY MID ATLANTIC POWER HOLDINGS LLC - 424B3 - 20010220 - NOTES\\_TO\\_FINANCIAL\\_STATEMENT](#)

Deep Creek Lake lies just west of the Allegheny Front on a large plateau known as the Tablelands or Allegany Highlands. Its location at the southernmost end of Meadow Mountain places it west of the Eastern Continental Divide and within the Mississippi River watershed. It gets its name from Deep Creek, and was a hydroelectric project constructed in the 1920 by the Youghiogheny Hydroelectric Company. Deep Creek Dam, located about 8 miles (13 km) north of Oakland, Maryland, consists of an earth and rock wall dam across a tributary of the Youghiogheny River. Construction of the dam began in 1923 and was completed in 1925.

Man has been associated with the use of this land for thousands of years. Early nomadic hunters and gatherers, followed by Native American hunters, roamed through the mountains of Garrett County but have left little record of their presence. Beginning in the 1700's, early settlers to the region eked a subsistence existence based on the utilization of the area's abundant natural resources. The mountains remained relatively untouched until the turn of the century when massive logging operations began stripping the land of the virgin Red Spruce, Hemlock, White Pine, and Yellow Birch forests.

Much of the area consists of a forest that has regenerated from the original stands of timber and has become an excellent example of a maturing northern hardwood forest. Oaks and hickories are now the dominant species. Forest wildlife species such as black bear, wild turkey, bobcat, and white tailed deer have grown in numbers over the past decades as habitat has been preserved and managed. Small mammals such as squirrel, chipmunk, raccoon, skunk, and opossum are frequently seen. The area is also home to numerous plant species, some rare, found on the forest floor.

The Tableland's weather is quite unique for the region. Many winters bring over 200 inches of snow and the greening of leafing trees does not occur until mid May. Summers are characterized by warm days and cool nights. Autumn comes alive with blasts of color in early to mid October with clear, crisp days and cold evenings.

Coal was soon discovered which established the Brant coal mine which produced bituminous coal that was used by the local community for heating and blacksmith work.

## Historical Notes

Over eighty years ago, the idea was conceived to harness the water powers of the Youghiogheny (pronounced “yock-a-gain-ee” or locally referred to as the “yock”) River, Maryland’s only designated “wild” river and Deep Creek, a tranquil stream situated between Roman Nose Ridge and Marsh Hill Ridge, for the production of electricity. Planning began as early as 1908 but early attempts fell through. In 1921 the Youghiogheny Hydro-Electric Corporation was granted the right to construct dams across Deep Creek and the Youghiogheny River, a subsidiary of the Pennsylvania Electric Corporation (PENELEC). Preliminary surveys were conducted in 1922 to determine the water power possibilities by measuring the running levels of the Youghiogheny River and establishing gauging stations to determine the amount of water flow. The concept that resulted from these surveys proposed the construction of four dams and three power houses. One of the dams would be located near the confluence of Deep Creek and the Yough, another in the Yough north of Deep Creek, and 2 dams south of the Deep Creek project. Because it would be financially self sufficient, feasibility studies showed that the Deep Creek dam and powerhouse should be completed first. In the end, it was the only dam from the original concept to be constructed. As the project began in November of 1923, the first step was the acquisition of land. The Eastern Land Corporation was licensed by the state to handle the real estate transactions. The price per acre ranged from \$5.00 to \$2,500, with an average cost of about \$55.00. Entire farms were purchased even when just a portion of the land would be flooded. Many farms were purchased not because they would be flooded but because the roads leading to them would be cut off by the rising water. In total, about 140 farms were purchased to make up the 8,000 acres acquired for the project, with only 4500 acres actually inundated. When possible, buildings were moved to higher ground including private homes and 2 school houses. The dam and powerhouse were built by Mr. Charles Hawley & Company, Inc., of Washington, DC. The 1,000 men employed for the purpose of construction were housed in 2 large buildings close to the location of what is now Red Run Lodge. Various other projects resulted from the construction process including: connecting the B&O Railroad at Oakland and extending it to the dam and power sites, relocation of nearly 15 miles of highway, relocation of 2 steel bridges, the opening of a quarry to prepare stone for the dam and roadways. Taking almost 2 years to complete, the plant opened for operation at 4 p.m. May 26th, 1925. Eighty years later, the earth and rock fill dam remains much as it was then. It is about 1,340 feet long and crosses Deep Creek about 1.75 miles upstream from its confluence with the Yough. Water from the lake is transported to the powerhouse through a 7,000 foot power tunnel. The brick powerhouse is capable of producing

about 18 megawatts of electric power with its 2 Francis type turbines and 2 generators. Both of the original steel bridges have been replaced with more modern concrete structures. As word spread about the fishing and boating opportunities during the 1950's and 60's, the area saw more visitors from Pittsburgh. In the 1980's, with the opening of Interstate highways from the East, an increased number of visitors came from the Baltimore/Washington population centers. Today Deep Creek Lake is owned by the state of Maryland and managed by the Department of Natural Resources. Holding the title of Maryland's largest freshwater lake, it is 13 miles long with 65 miles of shoreline and covers about 3,900 acres. With an average depth of about 25 feet, maximum depth reaches about 75 feet near the breast area of the dam. A fortunate by-product of man's need to generate power, the resulting natural beauty of Deep Creek Lake cannot be denied. - **Written by Mountain Lake Quarterly**